

Factors predicting recovery patterns of back pain among injured workers

Cynthia Chen, Sheilah Hogg-Johnson, Peter Smith, Dorcas Beaton



Background

- Low back pain is one of the most common, costly MSD problems
- It is the single largest category of workers' compensation claims
- The course of back pain is highly variable
- Four recovery patterns were identified using measure of pain intensity (Chen, et al. 2007)



Average pain intensity of pattern groups



www.iwh.on.ca



Research question

- What are the factors predicting membership in each of these groups?
- How well variables routinely collected by compensation boards predict membership in each group?
- Is there any additional variables not routinely collected improving the prediction?



Sample

- a cohort of injured workers having lost-time claims with the Ontario Workplace Safety & Insurance Board (May-November, 1993)
- They were workers filing "new" claims for back injury (that is, not a reopened claim) and were still off work at the time of recruitment
- 678 subjects were classified into four recovery patterns
- Inclusion for this study
 - all respondents having complete data

N=479 (71% of respondents)

- the exclusion did not change the distribution of patterns among respondents



Research Excellence Advancing Employee Health

Baseline Predictors (I)

•WSIB routinely collected variables

Age
Gender
Previous WSIB claims
Physical demand of jobs
Industry group
Firm size



Baseline Predictors (II)

- Descriptive variables
 Marital status
 Number of children in the household
 Education level
 Other health conditions
- Clinical variables

Frequency of pain
Nature of pain
Radiating pain ?
Recurrent pain ?
Roland-Morris Disability Score
SF36 Physical Function
SF36 Mental Health



Research Excellence Advancing Employee Health

Baseline Predictors (III)

• Extra variables

Being a sole earner in the family
 Was a supervisor before injury
 Return to work arrangement offered by the employer

- ≻Supervisor's reaction to the injury
- ≻Coworkers' reaction to the injury
- Claim would affect jobs
- Perceived risk of re-injury on return to regular job
- Current status relative to expectations



Analytic Approach

Step 1: prognostic models of recovery patterns were examined with each group of predictors in turn, adjusting for age and gender . (Criterion for selection : p-value <= 0.10)

Step 2: A final model of the selected prognostic factors from Step 1 was fit to identify the most relevant predictors. (Criterion for selection: p-value < 0.05)

- Multinomial logistic regression was used in each step of modeling
- Validation of prediction using Bootstrapping method



Results (i) --- Predictors from four individual models

WSIB routinely collected variables

Descriptive variables

Clinical variables

Extra questionnaire variables

* Age

Education Levels

- ✤ R-M Disability
- Nature of pain
- Claim affect job?
- Current status relative to expectations



Results (ii) --- Final model





Limitations

- Potential subjects excluded from our sample
- Sample size
- Old cohort

Strengths

- Broad range of variables
- Longitudinal design of the study
- Staged approach in the analysis



Conclusion

- Clinical features of back injuries were predictive of the recovery patterns from back pain
- Data routinely collected by the workers' compensation boards offered limited information regarding future recovery of injured workers' back pain
- When predicting recovery of compensated back injuries, these clinical predictors combined with workers' current status of recovery relative to their expectation will be useful for health practitioners and workers' compensation boards.



Research Excellence Advancing Employee Health www.iwh.on.ca

